

Exercises on Complexity Theory

Exercise. Show that if there is an efficient algorithm for the optimisation problem Π then there is an efficient algorithm for the decision problem of Π .

Answer. Solve the optimization problem and check whether the optimal solution cost is less than or equal to K .

Exercise. Suppose we have an efficient algorithm ALG for the decision problem of an optimisation problem Π . Show that we can use ALG to compute an optimal solution for Π . What are the conditions for this algorithm to be efficient?

Answer. Let I be an instance of Π of size n . We assume that the cost function is integer and non-negative. Suppose we knew that the cost of an optimal solution is in the interval $[0, L]$ for some integer L . We can then do binary search on the interval $[0, L]$ and invoke ALG for each query value K to check whether there exists a solution whose cost is at most K . This way we will find the optimal value after $O(\log L)$ steps. The resulting algorithm is efficient if $O(\log L)$ is polynomially bounded in the size of I , e.g., if $L = O(e^{n^k})$ for some constant k .

Exercise. Try to identify a problem for which you believe that it is not in NP.

Answer. Consider, for example, the decision problem of whether a given graph $G = (V, E)$ is *not* Hamiltonian. Every certificate for a yes-instance would have to prove that every cycle of G does not contain all vertices. Such a certificate can be given by listing all possible cycles and showing that each one of them does not contain all vertices of G . However, this certificate cannot be verified in polynomial time. In fact, no such certificate has been found until now.

Exercise. Show that 0/1-ILP is NP-complete. Reduce SAT to this problem.

Answer. Formulate each clause of the SAT-formula F as a constraint, e.g., $(x_1 \vee \neg x_2 \vee x_3)$ is encoded as $x_1 + (1 - x_2) + x_3 \geq 1$. This transformation can be done in polynomial time. A 0/1-assignment x satisfies F iff x is a feasible solution for the corresponding 0/1-ILP.

Exercise. Show that ILP is NP-complete. *Hint: This is trivial.*

Answer. 0/1-ILP is a special case of ILP-DECISION.

Exercise. Show that the following problem is NP-complete:

LONGEST PATH:

Instance: An undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ and a parameter K .

Goal: Determine whether there exists a (simple) path in G of length at least K .

Answer. We first show that the so-called HAMILTONIAN PATH problem is NP-complete: Given an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$, determine whether G contains a Hamiltonian path, i.e., a path that visits every vertex exactly once. It is easy to verify that HAMILTONIAN PATH is in NP. We show that *HamiltonianCycle* \propto *HamiltonianPath*. Given an instance $G = (V, E)$ of HAMILTONIAN CYCLE, we proceed as follows: For every edge $e = \{u, v\} \in E$ of G , construct an augmented graph G_e that consists of G and two additional vertices u', v' that are connected to u and v , respectively, i.e., $G_e = (V \cup \{u', v'\}, E \cup \{u', u\} \cup \{v', v\})$. Note that the following holds for every edge $e \in E$: There is a Hamiltonian cycle in G using edge e if and only if there is a Hamiltonian path in G_e . Thus, there is a Hamiltonian cycle in G if and only if there is a Hamiltonian path in G_e for some edge $e \in E$. Note that this reduction takes polynomial time.

Now it is easy to conclude that LONGEST PATH is NP-complete because it is in NP and *HamiltonianPath* \propto *LongestPath* simply by observing that there is a Hamiltonian path in G if and only if there is a path of length $n - 1$.

[PS] 15.12. We first need to argue that FEEDBACK VERTEX SET is in NP. A certificate of a yes-instances consists of a subset $V' \subseteq V$ of vertices such that by removing all vertices in V' the induced subgraph $G[V \setminus V']$ is acyclic. This can be verified in polynomial time by constructing $G[V \setminus V']$ (which takes at most $O(n + m)$ time) and then checking whether this graph is acyclic (which can be done in time $O(n + m)$).

Next we reduce *VertexCover* to *FeedbackVertexSet*. Given instance consisting of an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ and a parameter K for VERTEX COVER we construct an instance to FEEDBACK VERTEX SET as follows: Create a directed graph $G' = (V, E')$ on the same vertex set by adding two directed edges (u, v) and (v, u) to G' for every edge $\{u, v\} \in E$ of G . We claim that V' is a vertex cover of G if and only if V' is a feedback vertex set of G' . Given a vertex cover V' of G , every edge $\{u, v\} \in E$ must be covered by a vertex in V' . That is, every directed edge $(u, v) \in E'$ has at least one of its endpoints in V' . Thus, G' does not contain a cycle. Suppose we are given a feedback vertex set V' of G' . Then every cycle in G' must contain at least one vertex from V' . In particular, every cycle of length 2 in G' must contain at least one vertex from V' . Every cycle of length 2 corresponds to an undirected edge in G . That is, every undirected edge in G has at least one of its endpoints in V' and thus V' is a vertex cover for G .